

# Gaming Emperor Law School Table of Contents

Dedication .....	i
Preface .....	ii-viii
Introduction .....	1-
<b><u>Section One:</u> The case for something amiss in Emperor Law School that can be taken advantage of .....</b>	
Chapter 1 -- The importance accorded first year grades as evidence of something amiss .....	
Chapter 2 -- Universal poor performance on exams and expectation of same as evidence of something amiss .....	
Chapter 3 -- Disconnect between classroom performance and exam results as evidence of something amiss ..	
Chapter 4 -- The standard for judging exams as evidence of something amiss .....	
Chapter 5 -- Excuses for failure to adequately instruct how lawyers think and analyze -- "genius for the law," "innate aptitude," "The Right Stuff" -- as evidence of something amiss .....	
Chapter 6 -- Lack of correlation between a professor's experience/background/orientation and exam results as evidence of something amiss .....	
<b><u>Section Two:</u> Origin and history of law schools as explanation for the failure of Emperor Law School to train lawyers .....</b>	
Chapter 1 -- The rise of law schools and a quandary .....	
Chapter 2 -- Three case studies: Duke; Yale; the first American law school .....	
Chapter 3 -- A proliferation of American law schools .....	
Chapter 4 -- Law school instruction prior to advent of case method, and a salient question going forward .....	
<b><u>Section Three:</u> Confusion, intimidation, and case method instruction lay the foundation for mediocre exam performance in Emperor Law School .....</b>	
Chapter 1 -- The awesome prospect of the law and Emperor Law School as both a barrier to success and a key to taking advantage .....	
Chapter 2 -- Problem(s) with conventional case briefing .....	
Chapter 3 -- Problems with case method instruction .....	
Chapter 4 -- Day one of law school -- The ringmaster cracks the whip! (Intimidation and the slide into confusion and self doubt begins) .....	
Chapter 5 -- Background perspective new 1Ls should be provided, but aren't: on courts, cases, "clerks," "reporters," etc..	
<b><u>Section Four:</u> The problematic hypothetical-type essay exam exercise ..</b>	

- Chapter 1 -- Bane of all law students -- the hypothetical-type essay exam exercise .....
- Chapter 2 -- Why law students have such difficulty with essay exams (and consequently little chance at A's) .....
- Chapter 3 -- Let's take a (mini) essay hypothetical: A preliminary test of your skill at analyzing "as a lawyer" .....
- Chapter 4 -- How law essay exams are graded .....
- Chapter 5 -- B's guaranteed; getting rare law school A's .....

Section Five: Fundamentals on the path to a solution .....

- Chapter 1 -- Emperor Law School versus the lawyering art .....
- Chapter 2 -- Examples of "lawyerlike thinking;" Implications, if progress in becoming a lawyer continues after law school .....
- Chapter 3 -- Why case method cannot instruct lawyerlike thinking; a path to improvement and advantage .....
- Chapter 4 -- The conventional wisdom (CW) of law exam writing and preparation (It isn't enough!) .....
- Chapter 5 -- A breakthrough insight leads to LEEWS .....
- Chapter 6 -- LEEWS described (in depth) .....
- Chapter 7 -- Omnipresent "IRAC" (Where this [mere] formula fits into the picture) .....

Section Six: Observations/thoughts/recommendations going forward .....

- Chapter 1 -- The (real) problem with law schools (It's not what the legal profession thinks.) .....
- Chapter 2 -- The problem with (most) law professors .....
- Chapter 3 -- Recommendations going forward .....
- Chapter 4 -- In conclusion .....

\* \* \* \*