

Table of Contents

Dedication	i	
Preface	ii-vii	
Introduction	1-13	
Section One:	The case for something amiss in Emperor Law School that can be taken advantage of	14
Chapter 1	The importance accorded first year grades as evidence of something amiss	17
Chapter 2	Universal poor performance on exams and expectation of same as evidence of something amiss	21
Chapter 3	Disconnect between classroom performance and exam results as evidence of something amiss	23
Chapter 4	The standard for judging exams as evidence of something amiss	26
Chapter 5	Excuses for failure to adequately instruct how lawyers think and analyze -- “genius for the law,” “innate aptitude,” “The Right Stuff” -- as evidence of something amiss	28
Chapter 6	Lack of correlation between a professor’s experience/background/orientation and exam results as evidence of something amiss	31
Section Two:	Origin and history of law schools as explanation for the failure of Emperor Law School to train lawyers	34
Chapter 1	The rise of law schools and a quandary	36
Chapter 2	Three case studies: Duke; Yale; the first American law school	39
Chapter 3	A proliferation of American law schools	42
Chapter 4	Law school instruction prior to advent of case method, and a salient question going forward	45
Section Three:	Confusion, intimidation, and case method instruction lay the foundation for mediocre exam performance in Emperor Law School	47
Chapter 1	The awesome prospect of the law and Emperor Law School as both a barrier to success and a key to taking advantage	49
Chapter 2	The conventional case brief; the (many) problems with it	51
Chapter 3	The LEEWS 2-4 line case brief; more understanding, far fewer class notes	66
Chapter 4	Problems with case method instruction	80
Chapter 5	Day one of law school -- The ringmaster cracks the whip! (Intimidation and the slide into confusion and self doubt begins)	85
Chapter 6	Background perspective new 1Ls should be provided, but aren’t: on courts, cases, “clerks,” “reporters,” etc	98

<u>Section Four:</u>	The problematic hypothetical-type essay exam exercise107
Chapter 1	Bane of all law students -- the hypothetical-type essay exam exercise109
Chapter 2	Reasons law students have such difficulty with essay exams (and consequently little chance at A's)117
Chapter 3	Let's take a (mini) essay hypothetical: A test of ability to think "as a lawyer"130
Chapter 4	A confident approach to exams; maintenance thereof; a preview of the LEEWS 3-step system for identifying issues.137
Chapter 5	How law essay exams are graded.142
Chapter 6	B's guaranteed; getting rare law school A's; beyond A's to the top grade in the class!145
<u>Section Five:</u>	Fundamentals on the path to a solution.. . . .	
Chapter 1	Emperor Law School versus the lawyering art	
Chapter 2	Examples of "lawyerlike thinking;" Implications, if progress in becoming a lawyer continues after law school	
Chapter 3	Why case method cannot instruct lawyerlike thinking; a path to improvement and advantage	
Chapter 4	The conventional wisdom (CW) of law exam writing and preparation (It isn't enough!).	
Chapter 5	A breakthrough insight leads to LEEWS	
Chapter 6	LEEWS described (in depth)	
Chapter 7	Omnipresent "IRAC" (Where this [mere] formula fits into the picture	
<u>Section Six:</u>	Observations/thoughts/recommendations going forward	
Chapter 1	The (real) problem with law schools (It's not what the legal profession thinks.)	
Chapter 2	The problem with (most) law professors	
Chapter 3	Recommendations going forward	
Chapter 4	In conclusion	

* * * *